

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,551	03/31/2004	Nasser A. Kurd	INTEL-0054	4115
34610	7590 10/07/2005		EXAM	INER
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			NGUYEN, LINH M	
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CIM HITIEET,	, 11 20133		2816	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		XK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Ocumentos	10/813,551	KURD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 /	<u>August 2005</u> .					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 31</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 31</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) <u>28-30 and 32-34</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
The state of the s						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-34 are presented in the instant application according to the Applicants' amendment filed on 08/31/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato (JP Patent No. JP02003298417A).

With respect to claim 12, Sato discloses, in Fig. 1, a clocking system comprising a phase lock loop device [2] powered by an analog power supply voltage [5b] and a digital power supply voltage [6b], the phase lock loop device to receive a first clock signal [4] and to output a second clock signal [9b] having an frequency based on a voltage of the digital power supply voltage.

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 22-27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,876) in view of Mano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,570,456).

With respect to claim 1, Sung et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a clock generating apparatus comprising a first phase lock loop device [52] and a second phase lock loop device [54].

Sung et al. fails to disclose details of the first and second phase locked loop devices with first and second power supply voltages.

Mano et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a phase locked loop with first and second power supply voltages.

The combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses a clock generating apparatus comprising a first phase lock loop device [Sung et al.'s, Fig. 1, item 52 as with details in Mano et al.'s, Fig. 1, item L21] to be powered by a first power supply voltage [Vdd (not shown since it is inherent for a PLL to be powered by a power supply voltage to operate)], and a second phase lock loop device [Sung et al.'s, Fig. 1, item 54 as with details in Mano et al.'s, Fig. 1, item L21], coupled to the first phase lock loop device, to be powered by the first power supply voltage [Vdd (not shown since it is inherent for a PLL to be powered by a power supply voltage to operate)] and a second power supply voltage [V11], the second phase lock loop device to output a clock signal having an adaptive frequency based on the second power supply voltage.

Art Unit: 2816

To configure the circuit of Sung et al. with a detailed phase locked loop as taught by Mano et al. to provide clock signals that are stable phase synchronous with the reference signal would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Mano et al. teaches that regardless of the variation in the manufacturing parameter, the frequency characteristics can be adjusted as to compensate for the variation (see Mano et al., col. 5, lines 33-41).

With respect to claim 2, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the first power supply voltage comprises an analog voltage [Vdd] and the second power supply comprises a digital voltage [V11].

With respect to claim 3, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the frequency of the clock signal output from the second phase lock loop device are based on fluctuations of the second power supply voltage [V11].

With respect to claim 4, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the first phase lock loop device outputs a clock signal having a fixed frequency, the clock signal having the fixed frequency being input to the second phase lock loop device.

With respect to claim 5, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the second phase lock loop device includes components powered by the first power supply voltage and components powered by the second power supply voltage.

With respect to claim 6, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the second phase lock loop device includes a voltage controlled oscillator (VCO) powered by the second power supply voltage [V11].

With respect to claim 7, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses

Art Unit: 2816

that a sensitivity to droop is based on a coupling percentage of the second power supply voltage to power the VCO.

With respect to claim 9, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that a sensitivity to voltage droop is determined based on a ratio of transistor sizes within the second phase lock loop device [Sung et al. 's, Fig. 1, item 54 as with details in Mano et al. 's, Fig. 1, items MC21, M25, M26, MC22].

With respect to claim 10, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the apparatus corrects for phase error accumulation.

With respect to claim 11, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. further discloses that buffers to couple core components, operating based on the clock signal, with external I/0 [Sung et al.'s Figs. 1 and 5].

With respect to claim 22, Sung et al. discloses, in Figs. 1 and 5, an electronic system comprising an integrated circuit having a clock generating apparatus and I/O components coupled external to the integrated circuit, the clock generating circuit comprising a first phase lock loop device [52] and a second phase lock loop device [54].

Sung et al. fails to disclose details of the first and second phase locked loop devices with first and second power supply voltages.

Mano et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a phase locked loop with first and second power supply voltages.

The combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses a clock generating apparatus comprising a first phase lock loop device [Sung et al.'s, Fig. 1, item 52 as with details in Mano et al.'s, Fig. 1, item L21] to be powered by a first power supply voltage [Vdd (not

Art Unit: 2816

shown since it is inherent for a PLL to be powered by a power supply voltage to operate)], and a second phase lock loop device [Sung et al.'s, Fig. 1, item 54 as with details in Mano et al.'s, Fig. 1, item L21], coupled to the first phase lock loop device, to be powered by the first power supply voltage [Vdd (not shown since it is inherent for a PLL to be powered by a power supply voltage to operate)] and a second power supply voltage [V11], the second phase lock loop device to output a clock signal having an adaptive frequency based on the second power supply voltage.

To configure the circuit of Sung et al. with a detailed phase locked loop as taught by Manu et al. to provide clock signals that are stable phase synchronous with the reference signal would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Mano et al. teaches that regardless of the variation in the manufacturing parameter, the frequency characteristics can be adjusted as to compensate for the variation (see Mano et al., col. 5, lines 33-41).

With respect to claim 23, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the first power supply voltage comprises an analog voltage [Vdd] and the second power supply comprises a digital voltage [V11].

With respect to claim 24, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the frequency of the clock signal output from the second phase lock loop device are based on fluctuations of the second power supply voltage [V11].

With respect to claim 25, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. further discloses that buffers to couple core components, operating based on the clock signal, with external I/0 [Sung et al.'s Figs. 1 and 5].

With respect to claim 26, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the first power supply and the second power supply are external to the integrated circuit.

With respect to claims 27 and 31, the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses that the first power supply is different than the second power supply voltage.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,876) in view of Mano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,570,456), as applied in claim 1, and further in view of Delbo' et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,816,019).

The combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. discloses all the claimed limitations as expressly recited in claim 1 including the second detailed phase locked loop (with a disclosed low pass filter in Mano et al., Fig. 1, item LP2), except for a sensitivity to voltage droop is determined based on a ratio of capacitor sizes within the second phase lock loop device.

Delbo' et al. discloses a low pass filter, in Fig. 3, with a low pass filter having two capacitors [cf1, cf2].

To configure a circuit based on the combined teaching of Sung et al. and Mano et al. with a low pass filter as taught by Delbo' et al. so that a voltage signal can be input to the voltage controlled oscillator and an desired frequency can be obtain would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Delbo'et al. teaches that such low pass filter could provide a damping factor such that the transient of the PLL system can be fast and does not show any overshoots (see Delbo'et al. col. 2, lines 28-33).

Art Unit: 2816

6. Claims 13-18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (JP Patent No. 02003298417A) in view of Sung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,876).

Page 8

With respect to claim 13, Sato discloses all the claimed limitations as expressly recited in claim 12, except for the clocking system further comprising a fixed phase lock loop device powered by the analog power supply voltage, the fixed phase lock loop device to receive a reference clock signal and to provide the first clock signal to the adaptive phase lock loop device.

Sung et al. discloses, in Fig. 1, a phase locked loop circuitry that includes two serially connected PLL devices [52, 54], with a first/fixed phase lock loop device [52] to receive a reference clock signal [20] and to provide the first clock signal to the second/adaptive phase lock loop device [54].

To configure the circuit of Sato et al. in an arrangement having two serially connected PLL devices as taught by Sung et al. to provide frequencies in a narrower range would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Sung et al. teaches that by doing so the PLL circuit can operate in a narrower range than might otherwise be required to produce a given input-to-final frequency change (see Sung et al., abs., lines 11-14).

With respect to claim 14, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. discloses that fluctuations of the frequency of the second clock signal are based on fluctuations of the digital power supply voltage.

With respect to claim 15, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. discloses that the fixed phase lock loop device outputs the first clock signal having a fixed frequency.

Art Unit: 2816

With respect to claim 16, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. discloses that the adaptive phase lock loop device includes components powered by the analog power supply voltage [5b] and components powered by the digital power supply voltage [6b].

With respect to claim 17, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. discloses that the adaptive phase lock loop device includes a voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO) powered by the digital power supply voltage.

With respect to claim 18, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. discloses that a sensitivity to droop is based on a coupling percentage of the second power supply voltage to power the VCO.

With respect to claim 20, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. discloses that a sensitivity to voltage droop is determined based on a ratio of transistor sizes within the adaptive phase lock loop device.

With respect to claim 21, the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. further discloses buffers to couple core components with external I/0 [Sung et al.'s Figs. 1 and 5].

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (JP Patent No.02003298417A) in view of Mano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,570,456), as applied in claim 12, and further in view of Delbo' et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,816,019).

The combined teaching of Sato and Mano et al. discloses all the claimed limitations as expressly recited in claim 12. Sung et. al. also discloses, in Fig. 3, a phase locked loop having a low-pass filter.

The combined teaching of Sato and Mano et al. fails to teach a sensitivity to voltage droop is determined based on a ratio of capacitor sizes within the second phase lock loop device.

Delbo' et al. discloses a low pass filter, in Fig. 3, with a low pass filter having two capacitors [cf1, cf2].

To configure a circuit based on the combined teaching of Sato and Sung et al. with a low pass filter as taught by Delbo' et al. so that a voltage signal can be input to the voltage controlled oscillator and an desired frequency can be obtain would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since Delbo'et al. teaches that such low pass filter could provide a damping factor such that the transient of the PLL system can be fast and does not show any overshoots (see Delbo'et al. col. 2, lines 28-33).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 28-30 and 32-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
 The closest prior art of record does not show or fairly suggest:
- a) A system, in which the first phase lock loop device includes a first bias circuit and a first voltage controlled oscillator, the first bias circuit to provide a bias voltage to the first voltage controlled oscillator, the bias voltage being different than the first and second power supply voltages, as called for in claims 28 and 33; and

Art Unit: 2816

b) A system including a multiplexer to select an output of a first phase lock loop device or to select the clock signal output from the second phase lock loop device, as called for in claims 30 and 32.

Remarks

10. Applicant's arguments filed 08/31/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Applicants' argument regarding claim 1, at bottom of page 9, the examiner disagrees with the Applicants' statement "Mano's bias voltage VII is not a power supply voltage but rather is a bias voltage". As clearly shown in Fig. 1 of Mano et al. voltage VII is a power supply voltage since it provides power supply voltage to voltage controlled oscillator [021].

Still with respect to the Applicants' argument on claim 1, bottom of page 9, the examiner disagrees with the Applicants' statement "the Office Action incorrectly states that Vdd is inherently provided for a PLL". It is well understood that it is necessary for circuits such as phase comparison circuit, charge pump, low pass filter and bias control circuit, in Fig. 1 of Mano et al, to have a power supply to be able to operate.

Still with respect to the Applicants' argument on claim 1, top of page 10, the examiner disagrees with the Applicants' statement "Vdd is not inherently provided to power a power supply when another power is already provided". As addressed in the paragraph above, Vdd is employed to provide power to circuits such as phase comparison circuit, charge pump, low pass filter and bias control circuit and another power in this case is V11, which is used to provide power to voltage controlled oscillator [021].

Art Unit: 2816

With respect to Applicants' argument on claim 12, bottom page 13 bridging top of page 14, the examiner disagrees with Applicants' indicating that the signals 4 and output 9B (or both 9A and 9B) do not correspond to the claimed first and second clock signals. The examiner disagrees with the Applicants. As shown by Sato, in Fig.1, a PLL circuit with input clock signal from oscillator 4 (well-known for clock sources) used as a reference clock signal for synchronizing the output clock signal 9B (or 9A) of the phase locked loop; as such signal from oscillator 4 and output signal 9B (or 9A) indeed are clock signals.

With respect to Applicants' argument on claim 2, last paragraph of page 14, Applicants stated that the references to Sung and Mano et al. do not teach or suggest the analog voltage and the digital voltage. Firstly, the term "analog" or "digital" are not given patentable weight since they suggest intended use. And secondly, just to respond to the Applicants' argument, V11 is seen to be the analog voltage since it is a variable voltage, being varied by D11-D1n; and the inherent Vdd is the digital voltage since it provides power to the digital/ logic elements in a typical phase comparison circuit PH2.

Regarding Applicants' argument on claim 5, at page 15, first paragraph, see response to last argument referencing claim 1 above.

With respect to claim 13, Applicants challenge the examiner to show where such a suggestion to modify Sato to include Sung's alleged serial connected PLL devices. It is not necessary that the cited references or prior art actually suggest expressly or in so many words, the changes or improvements that the Applicant has made. The test for combining references is what the references as a whole would have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re

Art Unit: 2816

Sheckier, 168 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1971): In re McLaughlin 170 I USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971); In re Young 159 USPQ 725 (CCPA 1968).

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1749.

The examiner can normally be reached on Alternate Mon, Tuesday - Friday from 7:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2816

Page 14

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMN

PRIMARY EXAMINER